

Application No 10/767114
Attorney Docket: Henn 20.550
Response to Office Action dated May 26, 2005
page 5

III. Remarks

Claims 13-24 were in the case. Claims 25-28 have been added.

Claim 14 stands rejected under 35 USC 112. Claim 14 has been cancelled.


Claims 13-19 and 21-24 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) over Ranson and Iacono; claim 20 stands rejected under 35 USC 103(a) over Ransom and Eliassen.

All the currently existing claims have been amended to more clearly set out that the invention is a kit for the supply of at least one material for dental restorative work. New claims 25 and 27-28 also relate to the supply of at least one material for dental restorative work

None of the references cited by the examiner relate to such kits or to the components recited in the claims herein. Existing claim 24, which recited at least one material for dental restorative work was interpreted by the examiner as being an intended use. This claim has been clarified to indicate that the at least one material for dental restorative work is an essential part of the kit.

Any fee due with this paper, not fully covered by an enclosed check, may be charged on Deposit Account 50-1290.

Respectfully submitted,



Serle Ian Mosoff
Registration No. 25,900
Attorney for Applicants

Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
575 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022-2585

Telephone: (212) 940-8717
Facsimile: (212) 940-8986
Customer No.: 026304

84057313_1_101+02_00008